

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/708,339	02/25/2004	Takeshi Ikeda	22040-00016-US2	2338
30678	7590 06/17/2004		EXAMINER	
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP			CHOE, HENRY	
SUITE 800 1990 M STRE	ET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3425			2817	

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/708,339	IKEDA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Henry K Choe	2817	And
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tine oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	(S) FROM nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this community (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 F	ebruary 2004.		
 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under 	ance except for formal matters, pro		rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	or election requirement. er. cepted or b) □ objected to by the feather drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.	• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application of the control of th	on No ed in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/25/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:)

Application/Control Number: 10/708,339

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Franca-Neto (Fig. 2).

Franca-Neto (Fig. 2) discloses an amplifier circuit comprising FET means (M1, M2), and a tuning circuit (48, 52) which is connected between the FET means (M1, M2) and an output node (26) of the amplifier circuit (Fig. 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Franca-Neto (Fig. 2) in view of Sechi (Fig. 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/708,339

Art Unit: 2817

Franca-Neto (Fig. 2) discloses all the limitations in the claim 4 except for that the DC blocking capacitor located at a gate of FET. Sechi (Fig. 3) discloses an amplifier circuit comprising a DC blocking capacitor (16) which is located at a base of the transistor (21a). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to have employed the capacitor at the gate of the FET means of Franca-Neto (Fig. 2), such as taught by Sechi (Fig. 3) in order to provide the advantageous benefit of transmitting only RF frequency (high frequency) signal.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sechi (Fig. 3).

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Sechi (Fig. 3) discloses an amplifier circuit comprising transistor means (21a, 21b) which amplifies the AM broadcast signal (14), and tuning circuit (33) which is connected between the transistor means (21a, 21b) and an output node (36) of the amplifier circuit (Fig. 3) and wherein the transistor means includes two cascode-coupled n-bipolar transistors. As described above, Sechi (Fig. 3) discloses all the limitations in the claims except for that the transistor means being p-FETs. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted well known art-recognized equivalent transistors such as the p-type FETs in place of the n-type bipolar transistors in the circuit of the Sechi (Fig. 3) because such a modification would have been considered a mere substitution of art-recognized equivalent transistors.

Regarding claim 3, the transistor means (21a, 21b) includes two cascode coupled n-bipolar transistors which receives the AM broadcast signal (14) and an AGC voltage (IB2).

Art Unit: 2817

Regarding claim 4, Sechi (Fig. 3) further discloses an amplifier circuit comprising a DC-blocking capacitor (16).

Conclusion

Patent number (5,339,048) is the amplifier with the tuning circuit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry Choe whose telephone number is (571) 272-1760.

HENRY CHOE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

#858